1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)		
2	United States Attorney		
3	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	JANAKI GANDHI (CABN 272246) Special Assistant United States Attorney		
5			
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102		
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7050 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: janaki.gandhi@usdoj.gov		
8			
9	Attorneys for the United States of America		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 13-0318 RS		
14	Plaintiff,		
15	v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
16	JAIME VICENTE CABALLERO, ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19			
20	The parties in this case appeared before the Court on May 28, 2013, and June 25, 2013.		
21	At both appearances, the parties represented to the Court that granting exclusion of time under		
22	the Speedy Trial Act would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of		
23	counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
24	Specifically, on May 28, 2013, the parties agreed to exclude time under the Speedy Trial		
25	Act for effective preparation of counsel to allow defense counsel to review discovery disclosed		
26	by the government. The Court made findings consistent with that agreement, and set the matter		
27	to June 25, 2013.		
28			
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-0318 RS		

Case 3:13-cr-00318-RS Document 13 Filed 06/26/13 Page 2 of 3

1	On June 25, 2013, the parties represented that additional discovery is anticipated in the		
2	case from the government. The Court set the matter to July 23, 2013, to allow disclosure of		
3	discovery and preparation of counsel. The parties agreed to exclude the period of time between		
4	June 25, 2013, and July 23, 2013, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161.		
5	The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time		
6	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.		
7	§ 3161(h)(7)(A). At both hearings, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.		
8	SO STIPULATED:		
9		MELINDA HAAG	
10		United States Attorney	
11	DATED: Ivos 25, 2012		
12 13		JANAKI GANDHI Special Assistant United States Attorney	
13 14		Special Assistant Officed States Attorney	
15		/s/	
16	,	JODI LINKER Attorney for Defendant Jaime Vicente Caballero	
17		Theories for Berendam value vicence Casamers	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CTIDLII ATION & IDDODOCEDI ODDED EVOLUDINO TIME		

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-0318 RS

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the May 28, 2013, and June 25, 2013, hearings, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from May 28, 2013, and July 23, 2013, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6/26/13

THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG

United States District Judge

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-0318 RS